International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Application
Online at: www.ijpsa.com

Research Article

Issue: Volume-3, Issue-1

Received: 28-11-2024

Accepted: 07-12-2024

Sameer. A*, Arjun.Y, Pooja.R
Students, Principal K.M. Kundnani college of Pharmacy, Mumbai

Dr.Sunita Patil
Professor, Department of Pharmaceutics, Principal K.M. Kundnani college of Pharmacy, Mumbai

Dr. Abhishek Mehta
HOD, Department of Pharmaceutics, Principal K.M. Kundnani college of Pharmacy, Mumbai

Corresponding Author
Sameer. A
Principal K.M. Kundnani college of Pharmacy, Mumbai

sameerkhanpharma@gmail.com

Comparative Study of Suspending Agents in Pharmaceutical Formulations

ABSTRACT

Suspending agents are critical components in pharmaceutical suspensions, influencing stability, texture, and bioavailability. This research aims to provide an in-depth analysis of the efficacy of various suspending agents, including natural, synthetic, and semi-synthetic polymers. Parameters such as sedimentation volume, redispersibility, viscosity, particle size distribution, and pH stability were systematically evaluated using model suspensions of ibuprofen. The study also explores cost-effectiveness and patient compliance aspects, offering comprehensive insights into the selection criteria for suspending agents to optimize formulation

Keywords

Suspending agents, pharmaceutical suspensions, sedimentation volume, viscosity, stability, ibuprofen, cost-effectiveness, patient compliance

1. Introduction:

Suspensions are biphasic liquid dosage forms containing finely divided insoluble particles uniformly distributed within a liquid vehicle. These formulations are widely used in pharmaceuticals to deliver poorly soluble drugs in an easily ingestible and palatable form. The stability and homogeneity of suspensions are heavily dependent on the suspending agents used. These agents prevent particle aggregation, minimize sedimentation, and facilitate uniform redispersion upon shaking. Natural, synthetic, and semi-synthetic polymers have diverse properties and play vital roles in achieving desired formulation characteristics. This study focuses on comparing commonly used suspending agents to determine their efficacy and suitability for pharmaceutical formulations, with a particular emphasis on ibuprofen suspensions as a model system.

2. Materials and Methods:

2.1 Materials:

Active Pharmaceutical Ingredient (API): Ibuprofen (USP grade, provided by a certified supplier)

Suspending Agents:

Natural: Xanthan gum, guar gum

Synthetic: Sodium carboxymethylcellulose (CMC), hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC)

Inorganic: Bentonite

Other Ingredients:

Purified water: Solvent for suspension preparation

Glycerin: Wetting agent to improve particle dispersion

Preservatives: Methylparaben and propylparaben to inhibit microbial growth

2.2 Preparation of Suspensions:

Ibuprofen suspensions (5% w/v) were prepared using varying concentrations (0.5%, 1%, and 1.5%) of each suspending agent. A stepwise method was adopted:

The suspending agent was hydrated in purified water with constant stirring.

Ibuprofen was gradually incorporated into the hydrated base using a mortar and pestle to ensure uniform dispersion.

Glycerin and preservatives were added to the formulation and mixed thoroughly.

The final volume was adjusted with purified water, and the suspensions were homogenized using a mechanical stirrer at 1000 rpm for 15 minutes.

2.3 Evaluation Parameters:

2.3.1 Sedimentation Volume (F):

The sedimentation volume, representing the ratio of sediment (Vu) to total volume (Vt), was measured after 24, 48, and 72 hours. Higher F values indicate better stability.

2.3.2 Redispersibility:

The ease of redispersion was assessed by storing the suspensions for one month, then inverting the container repeatedly until uniformity was visually observed. The number of inversions required was recorded.

2.3.3 Viscosity:

Viscosity measurements were performed using a Brookfield viscometer at shear rates ranging from 10 to 100 rpm. The relationship between viscosity and shear rate was analyzed to evaluate the rheological behavior of the suspensions.

2.3.4 Particle Size Distribution:

Particle size distribution was measured using a laser diffraction particle size analyzer. Samples were diluted appropriately to avoid multiple scattering effects.

2.3.5 pH Stability:

The pH of the suspensions was monitored over a 3-month storage period at 25°C and 40°C to evaluate the chemical stability of the suspending agents.

2.3.6 Cost-Effectiveness Analysis:

The cost of each suspending agent was calculated based on procurement data. The cost per effective dose was derived by combining cost and performance metrics.

3. Results and Discussion:

3.1 Sedimentation Volume:

Xanthan gum (1%) exhibited the highest sedimentation volume (F=0.95) after 72 hours, indicating its superior ability to maintain particle suspension. Bentonite showed moderate performance (F=0.80), while CMC demonstrated slightly lower stability (F=0.85). The results highlight the excellent stabilizing potential of

xanthan gum due to its high molecular weight and viscoelastic properties.

3.2 Redispersibility:

Suspensions containing HPMC required the fewest inversions (3-5) for uniform redispersion. In contrast, guar gum formulations required up to 10 inversions, suggesting lower thixotropic behavior. HPMC's effectiveness is attributed to its reversible gelation upon mechanical agitation.

3.3 Viscosity:

The viscosity of suspensions increased proportionally with the concentration of the suspending agent. Xanthan gum at 1.5% concentration demonstrated the highest viscosity (1200 cps), which may impede ease of administration. On the other hand, CMC exhibited moderate viscosity levels, offering a balance between stability and patient acceptability.

3.4 Particle Size Distribution:

Uniform particle size distribution was observed in suspensions containing xanthan gum and HPMC, with mean particle sizes remaining below 10 microns. Guar gum formulations exhibited slight aggregation, leading to larger particle sizes and potential sedimentation.

3.5 pH Stability:

Bentonite maintained a stable pH (6.8-7.2) over the 3-month storage period, while guar gum showed a gradual decrease in pH due to microbial degradation. Synthetic polymers like CMC and HPMC exhibited consistent pH values, demonstrating their chemical stability.

3.6 Cost-Effectiveness Analysis:

Natural polymers such as guar gum were the most cost-effective, with a cost per dose approximately 50% lower than synthetic polymers. However, their performance in stability parameters was inferior, requiring additional stabilizers for comparable results.

3.7 Patient Compliance:

The sensory attributes (taste, mouthfeel) of suspensions were evaluated by a panel of volunteers. Formulations with xanthan gum and CMC were rated as most acceptable due to their smooth texture and lack of grittiness.

4. Conclusion:

Among the suspending agents studied, xanthan gum and HPMC demonstrated superior performance across most evaluation parameters, including stability, redispersibility, and viscosity. While natural polymers like guar gum are cost-effective, their lower stability and pH variability limit their standalone use. The choice of a suspending agent should consider the formulation's specific requirements, balancing performance, cost, and patient acceptability. Further research is warranted to explore the long-term stability and scalability of these findings in commercial formulations.

References:

Aulton, M. E., & Taylor, K. M. G. (2017). Aulton's Pharmaceutics: The Design and Manufacture of Medicines. Elsevier.

Martin, A. (2020). Physical Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

Mahmud, M. et al. (2019). Comparative study of natural and synthetic polymers in suspensions. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 11(3), 45-52.

Rowe, R. C., Sheskey, P. J., & Quinn, M. E. (2009). Handbook of Pharmaceutical Excipients. Pharmaceutical Press.

Banker, G. S., & Rhodes, C. T. (2012). Modern Pharmaceutics. CRC Press.

Prakash, S. et al. (2020). Stabilization of pharmaceutical suspensions using novel polymers. Journal of Drug Delivery Science and Technology, 55, 101395.

Shah, V. P. et al. (2019). Evaluation of rheological properties in pharmaceutical suspensions. Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 108(2), 632-639.

Patel, R. et al. (2021). Stability studies of suspending agents in model formulations. Drug Development and Industrial Pharmacy, 47(8), 1231-1238.

Dudhatra, G. B. et al. (2018). Role of excipients in pharmaceutical formulations: An overview. Pharma Science Monitor, 9(1), 23-30.

Rajput, R. et al. (2022). Comparative evaluation of suspending agents for pediatric formulations. Asian Journal of Pharmaceutics, 16(4), 234-240.